



## Risk Management Policy

Issue Date: July 2020

Next Review Date: July 2022

The Bay Learning Trust  
The Lodge  
Ripley St Thomas  
Ashton Road  
Lancaster  
LA1 4RR

**t** 01524 581872

**e** [admin@baylearningtrust.com](mailto:admin@baylearningtrust.com)

**website** [baylearningtrust.com](http://baylearningtrust.com)

# **The Bay Learning Trust**

## **Risk Management Policy**

**July 2020**

### **Purpose**

This risk management policy (the policy) forms part of the Trust's internal control and governance arrangements. The policy explains the Trust's underlying approach to risk management. It gives key aspects of the risk management process, and identifies the main reporting procedures. It describes the process the Trust uses to evaluate the effectiveness of the Trust's internal control procedures.

### **Why we need to manage risk**

Daily we manage risk without describing this as "risk management". We consider what might go wrong and take steps to reduce the impact if things do go wrong. However, the Trust cannot rely on informal processes. Also, as a public body, we must provide assurance to the Department of Education, the Charity Commission, Ofsted, auditors, the Audit Committee and Directors that we are managing risk correctly. We do need to formally identify corporate risks and mitigating actions.

### **Risk appetite**

"Risk appetite" is an expression of how much risk an organisation is prepared to take. It can vary over time and from work area to work area. If the Trust's risk appetite is clearly articulated staff can take this into account when making their decisions. The CEO and Executive Leadership Group should therefore, when considering risk, discuss and express the risk appetite as they see it.

The risk register steers risk owners into considering risk appetite when updating a risk entry. They need to consider not only the risk status before and after existing mitigating action but also the final tolerable risk status; i.e. what they are aiming for in terms of status for that particular risk.

### **Approach to risk management**

The following key principles outline the Trust's approach to risk management:

- as the principal executive and policy-making body of the Trust, the Trust Board is responsible for risk management.
- the Trust is responsible for maintaining a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of policies, aims and objectives.
- there should be an open and receptive approach to mitigating risk.
- the Audit Committee advises the Trust Board on risk management.
- the Trust makes conservative and prudent recognition and disclosure of the financial and non-financial implications of risks.
- the Trust Board and Local Governing Bodies are responsible for encouraging and implementing good risk management practice within the Trust and its academies.
- Principals and SLT of each academy within the Trust are responsible for encouraging and implementing good risk management practice within their areas of responsibility.

- early warning mechanisms will be put in place and monitored to alert the Trust so that remedial action can be taken to manage any potential hazards.
- The CEO has a moderation role and should discuss key risks at each academy with the Principal and reports outcomes of these discussions to the Board
- Identified risks must be controlled and monitored by risk assessments. A full suite of risk assessment templates is available from the Trust's health & safety consultant, PCS.

### Completing the Register

The Charity Commission says that the process of risk identification should be undertaken with care, the analysis will contain some subjective judgements - no process is capable of identifying all possible risks that may arise. The process can only provide reasonable assurance to Directors that all relevant risks have been identified.

Identified risks need to be put into perspective in terms of the potential severity of their impact and likelihood of their occurrence. Assessing and categorising risks helps in prioritising and filtering them, and in establishing whether any further action is required.

This approach attempts to map risk as a product of the likelihood of an undesirable outcome and the impact that an undesirable outcome will have on the charity's ability to achieve its operational objectives. It enables the Directors to identify those risks that fall into the major risk category identified by the risk management statement.

The process begins with listing each of item of risk that Directors believe are appropriate for the Trust. Once identified each risk is looked at and decision taken as to how likely it is to occur and how severe its impact would be on the Trust if it did occur.

This policy has drawn the descriptors for 'impact' and 'likelihood' from the Charity Commission (CC26) publication as follows:-

<b>Impact Descriptor</b>	<b>Score</b>	<b>Impact on service and reputation</b>
Insignificant	1	no impact on service no impact on reputation complaint unlikely litigation risk remote
Minor	2	slight impact on service slight impact on reputation complaint possible litigation possible
Moderate	3	some service disruption potential for adverse publicity - avoidable with careful handling complaint probable litigation probable
Major	4	service disrupted adverse publicity not avoidable (local media) complaint probable litigation probable

Extreme/Catastrophic	5	service interrupted for significant time major adverse publicity not avoidable (national media)  major litigation expected resignation of senior management and board loss of beneficiary confidence
----------------------	---	---

## Likelihood

Descriptor	Score	Example
Remote	1	may only occur in exceptional circumstances
Unlikely	2	expected to occur in a few circumstances
Possible	3	expected to occur in some circumstances
Probable	4	expected to occur in many circumstances
Highly probable circumstances	5	expected to occur frequently and in most circumstances

The risk score is arrived at by multiplying the score in the 'impact' column by the score in the 'likelihood' column.

This policy reminds those directly involved in assessing risk that risk scoring often involves a degree of judgement or subjectivity. Where data or information on past events or patterns is available, it will be helpful in enabling more evidence-based judgements.

The colour codes are:

Red - major or extreme/catastrophic risks that score 13 or more,

Yellow - moderate or major risks that score between 7 and 12,

Green - minor or insignificant risks scoring 6 or less.

Those risks identified as 'red' in the risk score should be reviewed by Directors on a half yearly basis and all other risk areas at least annually. The Audit Committee is tasked to review the whole register annually and all the red coloured risks at each meeting.

## Trust's annual review of effectiveness

The top three risks for each academy and for the Trust overall, as identified by the academy and agreed by the Audit Committee, will be reported to the Board of Directors on an annual basis.

In addition, the Trust, as advised by the Audit Committee, will undertake an annual review to consider:

- whether risk management continues to be linked to the achievement of the Trust's objectives;
- each local governing body risk register to ensure the appropriate risk appetite or level of exposure for the Trust as a whole;
- whether risk review procedures cover fundamental reputational, governance, staff, teaching, operational, compliance, student experience, estates, financial and other risks to achieving the Trust's objectives;
- whether risk assessment and risk-based internal control are embedded in ongoing operations and form part of its culture;

- changes in the nature and extent of fundamental risks and the Trust's ability to respond to changes in its internal and external environment since the last assessment;
- the scope and quality of management's on-going process of monitoring the system of internal control including such elements as the effectiveness of internal audit and other assurance functions;
- the extent and frequency of reports on internal control to the Board and whether this is sufficient for the Directors to build up a cumulative assessment of the state of control and effectiveness of risk management;
- the incidence of any fundamental control failings or weaknesses identified at any point within the year and the impact that they have had or could have on financial results;
- the effectiveness of the Trust's public reporting processes;
- the effectiveness of the overall approach and policy to risk management and whether changes or improvements to processes and procedures are necessary.